## **REMARKS**

## Cancellation of the Rejected Claims

Claims 173-199 and 212-235, which are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, have been canceled in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicants reserve the right to pursue these claims in a Continuation application.

## Rejection of Claims 173-199 and 212-235 Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

In the Office Action, the Examiner states that "Claims 173-199 and 212-235 stand rejected under the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for the reasons already of record and those set forth below in reply to Applicant's arguments" (Office Action, page 2). According to the Examiner, "Applicant has failed to describe any structural characteristics commonly possessed by members of the genus such that one of skill in the art would recognize that Applicant was in possession of the invention claimed" (Office Action, page 3).

While disagreeing with the Examiner, Applicants have canceled Claims 173-199 and 212-235, in order to expedite issuance of the allowed claims. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of claims 173-199 and 212-235.

## **CONCLUSION**

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that all claims are in condition for allowance, and it is respectfully requested that the application be passed to issue. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this case, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.

Eric M. Balicky

Registration No. 57,020 Telephone: (978) 341-0036 Facsimile: (978) 341-0136

Concord, MA 01742-9133

Dated:

March 17,2006